Apartment developer takes iwi to court

By LYN HUMPHREYS

developers of a large central city residential apartment building say a carpark roof attached to their building is a "catastrophe waiting to happen".

As a result, Liardet Apartments has taken the adjacent building owners. To

As a result, Liardet Apartments has taken the adjacent building owners, Te Roopu O Te Atiawa, to court in an attempt to force them to remove the canopy

of 3.

n

d

t. d

n is o 's

A

In the civil court in New Plymouth yesterday, Liardet Apartments com-pany director Gregory McCarthy said his company was developing the former Tasman View rest home into 25 residen-Tasman View re tial apartments.

To

do so, another two storeys being added.

But an "unlawful encumbrance", carpark canopy, was built between carpark canopy, was built between Liardet Apartments, at 22 Liardet, and the former Hayton's plumbers building on Gill St, now owned by Te Roopu O Te Atiawa Trust, Mr McCarthy said. A series of meetings held with Te Atiawa to discuss having the aparaments

Atiawa to discuss having the canopy removed had no effect. The trust had

removed has advertised the carpains canopy for lease.

One of the trust's letters stated the canopy did not encroach on Liardet Anartments, "but is merely supported "Anartments".

In the meantime, Liardet Apartments had sold 13 of the 25 apartments, the 25 apartments, contract requiring them to be free of all impediments and encroachments.

Mr McCarthy said engineering had now started on the apartments, which had binding agreements requiring the work to be completed by October 2006. If the development was not finished in time, his company would be in default and risked incurring consider.

in the development was not missed in time, his company would be in default and risked incurring consider-able penalties and exposing it to a con-siderable loss of money. Mr McCarthy said he was concerned the engineering work might cause dam-

age to or the collapse of the canopy. The area beneath it was often used by people and others visiting the

"If it is not removed there is potential for catastrophe," Mr McCarthy said. For the trust, Tim Brewer asked Mr McCarthy if the canopy was taken into account when applying for building consent.

McCarthy replied that the Mr District made Plymouth aware of the existence of the canopy.

Mr Brewer said the trust lawyers had made a counter offer in October last year that the canopy remain for the next eight years and a rental of \$1300 was paid after which the canopy would removed independently or

be removed of supported.

Mr Brewer asked Mr McCarthy if he refused the offer and gave the go-ahead for proceedings to begin and applied for an urgent hearing. Mr McCarthy agreed: "That's correct.

"There was always an issue for me to have clear title," Mr McCarthy

responded.

O

9

e Ky zs ır

e.e

te

The hearing, before Justice Rodney Hansen, Auckland, is expected to end today.